arXiv:1301.5471v1 [gr-qc] 23 Jan 2013

New theories of gravity

Christian G. Böhmer*and Nicola Tamanini[†]
Department of Mathematics, University College London
Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

January 24, 2013

Abstract

We propose a new point of view for interpreting Newton's and Einstein's theories of gravity. By taking inspiration from Continuum Mechanics and its treatment of anisotropies, we formulate new theories of gravity. These models are simple and natural generalisations with many interesting properties. Above all, their precise form can, in principle, be determined experimentally.

1 Introduction

Since Newton's formulation of the law of universal gravitation in the Principia 1687, the theory has been unchanged. Very few attempts have been made to modify Newtonian gravity, modified Newtonian dynamics being probably the one exception [1]. It took almost 330 years until a generalisation of Newton's theory was successfully constructed. Einstein's theory of gravity was radically different to any previous physical theory. It abandoned the absolute notion of time and replaced the concept of force by the curvature of a four dimensional space. However, it took only a few years when the first modifications and extensions of Einstein's theory appeared in the literature, see [2] for an excellent historical review. Ever since, modified theories of gravity have enjoyed a prominent role in theoretical physics. It is the aim of the current work to propose modifications of Newton's and Einstein's theories of gravity by applying the same idea to both of them.

Let us start with the actions of Newtonian gravity and its relativistic analogue, the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_{\text{Newton}} = \int \Big[-\rho\varphi + \frac{1}{8\pi G}\delta^{ij}\partial_i\varphi\partial_j\varphi \Big] d^3x \,, \tag{1}$$

$$S_{\text{EH}} = \int \Big[\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} + \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} \Big] \sqrt{-g}\, d^4x \,. \tag{2}$$

Variation of the Newtonian action with respect to the gravitation potential φ yields the well-known Poisson equation $\Delta\varphi = 4\pi G\rho$ where ρ is a given matter

---

[*] c.boehmer@ucl.ac.uk

[†] n.tamanini.11@ucl.ac.uk

distribution. Likewise, variations of the Einstein-Hilbert action with respect to the metric (which contains the gravitational potentials) gives the famous Einstein field equations $G_{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi G/c^4\, T_{\alpha\beta}$.

When comparing the Einstein-Hilbert action (2) with other models in physics, it appears to be somewhat unnatural as one generally considers potential energies quadratic in the field strength, Hooke's law probably being the best known. However, when looking at the Einstein-Hilbert action, there are various very good reason for its form. Some of these can be motivated mathematically while others are simply observational. The field equations derived from it are close to Newton's theory of gravity and where the solutions deviate they are doing so in precisely the way to be in agreement with observations. However, there are observational facts which strongly indicate that our understanding of the gravitational force is far from complete. Dark matter and dark energy are two unknown forms of matter which are required to make the Universe work.

Looking at (1) and (2) we note that both actions contain a contraction with respect to the metric. In the Newtonian model we simply have the flat metric for Cartesian 3-space. We are now taking some inspiration from Continuum Mechanics and in particular constitutive equations which specify the various different models studied in this field. In Maxwell's electromagnetism the constitutive equations for instance define the form of the dielectric tensor $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon\mathbf{E}$ where ε is a rank 2 tensor in general and we should really write $D_i = \varepsilon_i{}^j E_j$. When working with the Faraday tensor and its corresponding excitation, one writes $H_{ij} = 1/4\, \epsilon_{ijmn}\chi^{mnkl}F_{kl}$ where for Maxwell's theory in vacuum $\chi^{mnkl} = \sqrt{-g}(g^{mk}g^{nl} - g^{nk}g^{ml})$, see [3]. In many simple applications $\varepsilon_i{}^j$ is taken to be proportional to the Kronecker delta which corresponds to a simple isotropic medium with dielectric constant ϵ, namely $\varepsilon_i{}^j = \epsilon\delta_i^j$. In other words, a rank 2 isotropic tensor is proportional to the metric tensor. We are using this observation to argue that the Newton and Einstein-Hilbert actions are based on the assumption of an isotropic medium. Since Nature has a strong tendency to be anisotropic, this appears to be rather unnatural. By doing so, we are changing the interpretation of the terms δ^{ij} and $g^{\mu\nu}$ in (1) and (2), respectively. We now view them much like the material tensors specified by constitutive equations which define the properties of the material. It is an interesting historical fact that F. Klein noted in a letter to Hilbert, as early as 1917, that the Ricci scalar in the Einstein-Hilbert action can be written as $\chi^{mnkl}R_{mnkl}$, see [4]. For a recent paper inspired by similar thoughts, see [5].

We might want to, for sake of concreteness, speak of the properties of the vacuum here. However, we would like to be very careful and point out that this change of viewpoint has a variety of philosophical implications when interpreting the new theories. We would like to keep these issues aside for now and proceed with the formulation of the theories. The assumption of an isotropic vacuum is certainly well supported by a host of experimental observations. However, there is clearly room for some improvement, in particular in general relativity. Many of the well-known modifications are is some sense rather severe. Field equations become higher than second order, new fields are introduced, locality is broken, local Lorentz invariance is broken etc. We will show that our new theories are completely harmless and retain all desired properties.

2 New theories of gravity

One of the most conservative modifications of Newton's and Einstein's theories we can think of is therefore the following

$$S_{\mathrm{Newton}} = \int \Big[-\rho\varphi + \frac{1}{8\pi G} C^{ij} \partial_i\varphi \partial_j\varphi\Big] d^3x\,, \tag{3}$$

$$S_{\mathrm{EH}} = \int \Big[\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{matter}} + \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} C^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}\Big] \sqrt{-g}\, d^4x\,, \tag{4}$$

where C^{ij} and $C^{\mu\nu}$ are symmetric rank 2 tensors which contain information about the underlying structure of the theory. In Continuum Mechanics such objects are often referred to as material tensors or elastic coefficients [6]. We will stick with this well established notation and should clearly distinguish it from the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$. We should emphasise that in this approach to gravity we are able to assume any symmetry for the metric, for instance spherical symmetry or homogeneity and isotropy. However, the symmetry of the metric is independent of the symmetry of the elastic coefficients.

Let us firstly consider variations of the new Newtonian theory (3) with respect to the potential ϕ. We find

$$\partial_i\big(C^{ij}\partial_j\varphi\big) = 4\pi G\rho\,, \tag{5}$$

which reduces to the Poisson equation if we choose $C^{ij} = \delta^{ij}$. As C^{ij} can in principle be an arbitrary tensor, solutions to this equation may be quite different. As a simple example, let us consider the case when $C^{ij} = \mathrm{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3)$ with the c_i being constants. In continuum mechanics or solid state physics one would speak of a crystal with three principal propagation directions. In this case, the new field equation (5) can be reduced to the Poisson equation by rescaling the coordinates. Looking for a radially symmetric solution gives the interesting result

$$\varphi \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1x^2 + c_2y^2 + c_3z^2}}\,. \tag{6}$$

In this case the gravitational field of a massive body is ellipsoidal instead of being spherical. However, the strength of this effect depends on the values of the constants. If for instance, the numerical values of the three constants c_1, c_2, c_3 are very close to each other, then the gravitational field will look spherical unless very large distances are taking into account.

It should also be noted that the components of C^{ij} do not have to be constants. They can be functions of the coordinates. Thus, the form of the gravitational field may be different in different regions of space, however, the gravitational law (5) would still be universal. If we consider $C^{ij} = \chi(t,x,y,z)\delta^{ij}$, the new field equations are

$$\Delta\varphi = \frac{4\pi G}{\chi}\rho - \frac{\partial^i\varphi\, \partial_i\chi}{\chi}\,. \tag{7}$$

If the function χ is slowly varying in space $|\partial_i\chi| \ll 1$, we would have a theory which would be in very good agreement with Newton's theory on smaller scales, like the solar system. Note also that assuming a time varying χ would correspond to a time dependent gravitational constant in agreement with Dirac large number hypothesis [7].

Let us now consider the new action (4). We assume that the tensor $C^{\mu\nu}$ may depend on the metric, this is important so that the limit of general relativity can be recovered. Note that the variation of the Ricci tensor does not give a surface term anymore because $C^{\mu\nu}$ is not the metric. One has to integrate by parts twice (the Ricci tensor contains second derivatives of the metric) and move the derivatives action on the metric variations to act on the matter tensor. Denoting

$$\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\delta C^{\mu\nu}}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}}\,, \tag{8}$$

the whole calculation yields the field equation

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} C^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\Box C^{\alpha\beta}& \\ + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu C^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \nabla^{(\alpha} C^{\beta)\mu} &= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T^{\alpha\beta}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we have also included the energy-momentum tensor of matter.

Recall that in General Relativity the field equations imply the conservation equations by virtue of the twice contracted Bianchi identities. This does no longer hold due to the presence of the material tensor $C^{\mu\nu}$ in the field equations. Nonetheless, we can take the covariant derivative of the field equation (9) with respect to ∇_α and find the following conservation equation

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\alpha \Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu} + \Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha C^{\mu\nu}& \\ - C^{\alpha\sigma} \nabla_\alpha R^\beta{}_\sigma - R^\beta{}_\sigma \nabla_\alpha C^{\alpha\sigma} &= \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \nabla_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

This implies that the conservation equations for $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is no longer a consequence of the gravitational field equations and some additional information is required to close the system of equations. However, in the case when a vacuum is considered, $T^{\alpha\beta} = 0$, the conservation equation (10) imposes constraints on the components of $C^{\mu\nu}$ and thus its components cannot be specified arbitrarily.

It should also be emphasised that one has to be careful when choosing the tensor $C^{\mu\nu}$ and the derived quantity $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$. Namely, there is a conceptual difference between prescribing the tensor C^μ_ν from prescribing $C^{\mu\nu}$. In the former case, we find that $C^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\sigma} C^\nu_\sigma$ and thus $C^{\mu\nu}$ has an explicit dependence on the metric which in turn will yield a non-trivial form for $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$. On the other, if we specify $C^{\mu\nu}$ *a priori*, then this tensor does not depend on the metric and hence the resulting variational derivative would be zero. One has to carefully distinguish both cases when analysing the field equations.

It is very difficult to analyse the field equations (9) given general $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ and $C^{\mu\nu}$. It is also not clear what would constitute a good choice for those quantities. As a first attempt to understand the theory, we assume $C^{\mu\nu}$ to be conformally related to the inverse metric

$$C^{\mu\nu} = \phi(x^\alpha)\, g^{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{11}$$

where $\phi(x^\alpha)$ is a scalar function depending on the coordinates. This choice simplifies the field equation considerably and they are now given by

$$\phi\, G^{\alpha\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta}\Box\phi - \nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T^{\alpha\beta}\,. \tag{12}$$

Upon division by the field ϕ and solving for the Einstein tensor, the resulting equation

$$G^{\alpha\beta} = \phi^{-1}\,\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T^{\alpha\beta} + \phi^{-1}\left(\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi - g^{\alpha\beta}\Box\phi\right). \tag{13}$$

shows similarities with non-minimally coupled scalar field theories [8, see Eq. (11)]. The main difference between the current theory and most other approaches is that ϕ is not a dynamical degree of freedom because $C^{\mu\nu}$ is a prescribed tensor and has not corresponding equations of motion. The conformal model appears to agree with [8] in the limit when the Brans-Dicke parameter $\omega \to 0$. However, as variations with respect to ϕ are not considered, there is no propagation equation for the scalar field. By substituting (11) back into the action (4), we note that this simply corresponds to assuming the gravitational constant to be varying in time and space, see for instance [7]. Varying constants models are generally based on non-minimally coupled scalar field with kinetic term similar to Brans-Dicke theory, see [9].

3 A Schwarzschild like solution

Let us start by considering a static and spherically symmetric vacuum spacetime described by the metric

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r)}dt^2 + e^{\mu(r)}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2\,, \tag{14}$$

where $d\Omega^2$ is the usual line element of the two-sphere. We also assume $\phi = e^{\xi(r)}$. When the analogue situation is analysed in General Relativity where $\xi \equiv 0$, one finds two independent equations which determine the two unknown functions $\nu(r)$ and $\mu(r)$. In this model, there is the additional degree of freedom ξ and fortunately, there are now three independent equations. These are given by

$$-\frac{e^\mu}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2}\mu'\xi' - \frac{\mu'}{r} + \xi'' + (\xi')^2 + 2\frac{\xi'}{r} = 0\,, \tag{15}$$

$$-\frac{e^\mu}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu'\xi' + \frac{\nu'}{r} + 2\frac{\xi'}{r} = 0\,, \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{4}\mu'\nu' - \frac{1}{2}\mu'\xi' - \frac{\mu'}{2r} + \frac{\nu''}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu'\xi' + \frac{1}{4}(\nu')^2 & \\ + \frac{\nu'}{2r} + \xi'' + (\xi')^2 + \frac{\xi'}{r} &= 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Eqn. (16) can be solved for the function μ which can then be substituted into the other two equations. Combining those linearly gives the condition $\nu' \propto \xi'$ which then allows us to reduce this problem to a single differential equation. While separation of variable and subsequent integration is possible, the resulting equation cannot be solved analytically for the unknown functions due to its high nonlinearity. However, by assuming $\xi' = -C^2\nu'$ with $C \ll 1$ we can find an approximate solution to the field equations which is given by

$$e^{\nu} = 1 - \frac{C}{r} + O(C^4)\,, \tag{18}$$

$$e^{-\mu} = 1 - \frac{C}{r} + \frac{2C^3}{r} + O(C^4)\,, \tag{19}$$

$$e^{\xi} = 1 + \frac{C^3}{r} + O(C^4)\,. \tag{20}$$

One easily verifies that this solution satisfies the field equations (15)–(17) up to $O(C^4)$. By choosing $C = 2GM$ we arrive at a Schwarzschild like solution with only a small difference. Clearly, this difference of the order of C^3 and therefore it would be very difficult to distinguish between this metric and the Schwarzschild metric using solar system tests. This is a promising result which indicates that this theory can pass solar system tests without great difficulty.

4 Cosmology

Next, we want to study the cosmological implications of field equations (9). Similar to the above we assume $C^{\mu\nu} = \phi(t)\, g^{\mu\nu}$ and consider a flat FLRW universe

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)\,, \tag{21}$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor. This yields the following cosmological field equations

$$3H^2\phi + 3H\dot{\phi} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\rho\,, \tag{22}$$

$$-\ddot{\phi} - 2\phi\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 2H\dot{\phi} - H^2\phi = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}p\,, \tag{23}$$

where an overdot denotes differentiation with respect to time derivative and H is the Hubble parameter $H = \dot{a}/a$. Moreover, the conservation equation (10) implies

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left[\dot{\rho} + 3H\left(\rho + p\right)\right] = 3\dot{\phi}\,\dot{H} + 6H^2\dot{\phi}\,, \tag{24}$$

which can also be derived from the two field equations.

We start looking for vacuum solutions, $\rho = p = 0$. Eqn. (22) yields $H = -\dot{\phi}/\phi$ which implies

$$\phi \propto \frac{1}{a}\,. \tag{25}$$

Next consider (23), inserting relation (25) gives the simple equation $a\ddot{a} + (\dot{a})^2 = 0$ which is solved by $a(t) = a_0\sqrt{t - t_0}$ which coincides with the evolution of a radiation dominated universe in standard cosmology. This means that in this simple model the vacuum energy naturally leads the universe into a radiation dominated era.

Under the assumption that $C^{\mu\nu} = \phi(t)\, g^{\mu\nu}$ and $\rho = p = 0$ we cannot find an accelerating solution to the field equations. We could, of course, add regular matter to the field equations and seek other solutions. However, we are particularly interested in the vacuum equations without ordinary matter. The reason for this is simply that we want to show that an additional non-dynamical structure in the theory suffices to get a dynamic universe. One can easily find such solutions by introducing an extra degree of freedom in the material tensor. Let us choose the elastic coefficients to be

$$C^{\mu}_{\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-\varrho, \sigma, \sigma, \sigma)\,, \tag{26}$$

which means that $C^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\sigma} C^{\nu}_{\sigma}$ and thus we have an explicit dependence on the metric, implying a non-zero $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ given by $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = g^{\mu\alpha} C^{\nu\beta}$. One can interpret the quantities ϱ and σ as the energy density and pressure of the vacuum, thereby specifying its internal structure.

The cosmological field equations of this model are

$$2\varrho \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + (\dot{\varrho} - \dot{\sigma}) \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = 0\,, \tag{27}$$

$$2\varrho \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + 4\varrho \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 4\dot{\varrho} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} + (\ddot{\varrho} - \ddot{\sigma}) = 0\,, \tag{28}$$

where the conservation equations can be derived from these two independent equations. In order to close the system, we choose a linear equation of state $\sigma = w\varrho$. Now, Eq. (27) can be integrated and yields

$$\varrho = C\, a^{2/(w-1)}\,, \tag{29}$$

where C is some constant of integration. We can substitute this into (28) and find

$$a = a_0 (t - t_0)^{\frac{1-w}{1-3w}}\,. \tag{30}$$

By virtue of (29) we arrive at

$$\varrho \propto (t - t_0)^{-\frac{2}{1-3w}}\,. \tag{31}$$

These are expanding and accelerating solutions provided $0 < w < 1/3$. The case $w = 1/3$ needs to be treated separately as the exponents tend to become very large. One would expect those to correspond to exponential functions, and indeed in this case

$$a = a_0 e^{\lambda t}\,, \qquad \varrho = \varrho_0 e^{-3\lambda t}\,, \tag{32}$$

where $\varrho_0 = \lambda / a_0^3$. Thus, we were able to find solutions of the field equations modelling a universe which can undergo periods of accelerated expansion, without the need to introduce any forms of matter. All we have done is to add an additional non-dynamical structure to the theory on a very fundamental level.

5 Conclusions

It is tempting to argue that we introduced a form of matter through the back door by choosing our material tensor, the elastic coefficients. However, it is far from clear whether this is indeed the case. Note that $C^{\mu\nu}$ is not a dynamical variable and thus it cannot be interpreted simply as matter. In Continuum Mechanics and when working with crystal symmetries, the tensor $C^{\mu\nu}$ is said to encode the symmetry properties of the material, in our case the vacuum. We simply say that the vacuum as we know it may have an internal structure which is specified by $C^{\mu\nu}$. We are breaking away from the assumption that the vacuum is isotropic and structureless.

The form of the elastic coefficients can in principle be determined observationally. In the context of cosmology, one could start with the specific $C^{\mu\nu}$ given by (26) and assume it to be close to the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$. When one considers models where deviations from General Relativity will vary with cosmological time, it would be most interesting to see how observational data would determine the form of $C^{\mu\nu}$ which provides the best fit to the data. Using our approach to gravity, we will be able to use observations directly to specify the model instead of guessing new theories and deriving their implications on a case by case basis. Ultimately, experiments and observations will determine the correct theory.

Acknowledgements

We thank Friedrich Hehl and Dmitri Vassiliev for useful discussions.

References

[1] M. Milgrom, Astrophys. J. **270**, 365 (1983); Astrophys. J. **270**, 371 (1983).

[2] H. F. M. Goenner, Living Rev. Rel. **7**, 2 (2004).

[3] F. W. Hehl and Y. N. Obukhov, *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2003).

[4] F. Klein, Nachrichten der Kgl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Mathematisch-physikalische Klasse. (1917.) Vorgelegt in der Sitzung vom 25. Januar 1918. In *Felix Klein Gesammelte Mathematische Abhandlungen, Erster Band*, Section XXXI, R. Fricke and A. Ostrowski (Eds.), Springer, Berlin (1921).

[5] R. A. Battye and J. A. Pearson, "Massive gravity, the elasticity of space-time and perturbations in the dark sector," arXiv:1301.5042 [astro-ph.CO].

[6] A. E. Green and W. Zerna, *Theoretical Elasticity*, Oxford University Press, London (1963).

[7] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **165**, 199 (1938).

[8] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961).

[9] J. D. Barrow and P. Parsons, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 1906 (1997) [gr-qc/9607072]; J. -P. Uzan, Living Rev. Rel. **14**, 2 (2011) [arXiv:1009.5514 [astro-ph.CO]].